Measurements of Finite Dust Temperature Effects in the Dispersion Relation of the Dust Acoustic Wave

> Senior Honors Thesis April 22, 2009 Erica Snipes

# Outline

- What is a plasma?
- What is a dusty plasma?
- Previous work with dust temperature and Dust Acoustic Wave
- Experimental set up
- Experimental methodology
- Results
- Future Work





Solid



#### Increasing Energy

Organized Strong intermolecular bonding Coulombic forces Short range

# Liquid



#### Increasing Energy

Loosely organized Collisions and weak intermolecular forces Weak coulombic forces Short range





#### Increasing Energy

No organization Collisions only Local interaction only

### Plasma



No organization Collisions and electromagnetic forces Local and long range

# **Examples**



# **Dusty Plasma**



 Dust particle moves through the plasma, collects ions and electrons from the surrounding plasma - acquires a net charge.

$$I_{\text{total}} = I_{\text{electron}} + I_{\text{ion}} + I_{\text{see}} + I_{\text{thermionic}} + I_{\text{hv}} = 0$$

Charge-to-mass ratio

# Why are they interesting?

### • They're prominent in the universe.

- Example of a complex, self-organized non-linear system that allows for direct visualization on the kinetic level via light scattering that provides a test bed for a wide range of phenomena.
- Relatively low charge to mass ratio
  - Introduces new collective phenomena (e.g., wave modes such as the dust-acoustic and ion-acoustic wave)
  - Relatively long time scales for phenomena

# **Dusty Plasma Examples**









# Why are they interesting?

- They're prominent in the universe.
- Example of a complex, self-organized non-linear system that allows for direct visualization on the kinetic level via light scattering that provides a test bed for a wide range of phenomena.
- Relatively low charge to mass ratio
  - Introduces new collective phenomena (*e.g.*, wave modes such as the dust-acoustic and ion-acoustic wave)
  - Relatively long time scales for phenomena

# Mach Cone

- Dust particles arranged in a monolayer, with a few particles<sub>QE</sub> underneath.
- Disturbance of lower layer dust particles moving at supersonic speeds compared to the natural dust speed.
- Measuring opening angle tells information about size of dust particle creating cone.
- Expected to occur in Saturn's rings, could help determine size of dust in rings.





# Microgravity

- Can neglect effect of Earth's gravity. Similar to eliminating first order terms
- Other smaller forces are able to be observed.
- Parabolic air flight
- International Space Station -
- Instability in center of plasma causes higher ionization of atoms, resulting in ions streaming out of void, pushing dust particles away.
- Dynamic equilibrium reached with charged dust pushing back in.





# Why are they interesting?

- They're prominent in the universe.
- Example of a complex, self-organized non-linear system that allows for direct visualization on the kinetic level via light scattering that provides a test bed for a wide range of phenomena.
- Relatively low charge to mass ratio
  - Introduces new collective phenomena (*e.g.*, wave modes such as the dust-acoustic and ion-acoustic wave)
  - Relatively long time scales for phenomena

# **Dust Acoustic Wave**

- Low frequency, compressional mode of the charged microparticle component.
- Propagation involves dynamics of heavy particles with small charge-to-mass ratios.
- Moves on the order of a few cm/s. Frequencies on order of Hz.



### **Previous Work**



[1] C. Thompson, A. Barkan, N. DÕAngeo, and R. L. Merlino, Phys. Plasmas 4, 2331 (1997).

[2] E. Thomas, Jr., R. Fisher, and R. L. Merlino, Phys. Plasmas 14, 123701 (2007).

[3] J. D. Williams, E. Thomas, Jr., and L. Marcus, Phys Plasmas 15, 043704 (2008).

[4] T.Trottenberg, D. Block, and A. Piel, Phys. Plasmas 15, 042105 (2006).

[5] S. Ratynskaia, S. Khrapak, A. Zobnin, M. H. Thoma, M. Kretschmer, A. Usachev, V. Yaroshenko,

R. A. Quinn, G. E. Morfill, O. Petrov, and V. Fortov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 085001





- Examining the condition necessary for the onset of the dust acoustic wave
- Theory accurately predicted the threshold condition, if the dust temperature was ~1/40 eV.

### DPD



- Dispersion relationship for a horizontally propagating wave was measured by modulating the discharge current
- The temperature was found by fitting the measured dispersion relation to a a fluid model for the wave mode.





- Dispersion relationship for a vertically propagating wave was measured by modulating the discharge current
- The temperature was found by fitting the measured dispersion relation to a a fluid model for the wave mode.

# Matilda II



- Dispersion relationship for a horizontally propagating wave was measured by modulating the discharge current
- The temperature was found by fitting the measured dispersion relation to a a kinetic model for the wave mode.

## Procedure

- Create a cloud containing a natural wave over a range of pressures
  - Accomplished for neutral pressures ranging from 50 to 120 mTorr
- Drive wave by modulating current
  - Capable of driving the wave mode over a range of neutral pressures, from 50 to 120 mTorr
- Measure dispersion relation
  - Measured for neutral pressures ranging from 55 mTorr to 70 mTorr
- Fit dispersion relation to extract temperature
  - Completed for p = 64 mTorr



### Generate the natural wave

# **Experimental Set Up**

- Wittenberg University DUsty Plasma Experiment (WUDUPE)
  - 8 in Conflat Tee
  - Base Pressure
    - ~ 8 mTorr
- Experimental Conditions
  - DC discharge plasma
    - Argon gas
  - 50-120 mTorr
  - Silica spheres
    - d = 3±1 µm
    - m ≈ 31 pg



# **Experimental Sketch**





### Driving the wave

# Driving

- Apply a ripple to the discharge current (0.185 - 0.3 mA) at desired frequency (9≤f≤25 Hz)
- Couples to natural wave mode
- Take 600 image sequences at 30fps





### Measure dispersion relation

# **Finding Wavelengths**





## Calibration



### **Fourier Transform**



# **Experimental Parameters**

| Dust  | Experimental  | Plasma  |
|---|---|---|
| Parameters  | Parameters  | Parameters  |
| $r_{d} = 1.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}$<br>$\rho_{d} \sim 2500 \text{ kg/m}^{3}$<br>$m_{d} = 3.5 \times 10^{-14} \text{ kg}$<br>$n_{d} = 3.03 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^{-3}$<br>$Z_{d} \sim 6750 \text{ eV}$ | $I_{discharge} = 1.185 \text{ mA}$<br>$I_{P-P, \text{modulation}} = 0.24 \text{ mA}$<br>P = 64  mTorr | n ~ 1.35 x 10 <sup>14</sup> m <sup>-3</sup><br>T <sub>i</sub> ~ 0.025 eV<br>T <sub>e</sub> ~ 3 eV<br>$ E  = 140^{V}/_{m}$ |

### **Pressure = 64 mTorr**





### Fit the dispersion relations

# Theory

Dispersion relation used in the work of Williams
 et. al.:
 Ion term
 Electron term



Dust term

• Where is the dust temperature dependence?

$$v_{td} = \sqrt{\frac{k_B T_D}{m_d}}$$

## **Dispersion Relation With Fit**



# Limitations

- To model the measured dispersion relation, fluid model was used.
  - breaks down at shorter wavelengths (*i.e.*, longer wavenumbers), particularly at smaller values of the dust temperature
  - increasing role of collisions at higher neutral pressures can also limit the validity of the model.
- The charge  $(Z_d)$  computed using OML theory tends to be larger than observed in experiment particularly at higher values of neutral pressure.
  - A reduced charge results in a smaller slope in the calculated dispersion relation and requires an even larger value of the dust kinetic temperature to match the experimental measurements.

### **Results**



# Conclusions

- Create a cloud containing a natural wave over a range of pressures
  - Done for pressures ranging from 50 mTorr to 120 mTorr
- Drive wave by modulating current
  - Driving for pressures ranging from 50 mTorr to 120 mTorr
- Measure dispersion relation
  - Measured for pressures ranging from 55 mTorr to 70 mTorr
- Fit dispersion relation to extract temperature
  Fit for 64 mTorr

### **Other Pressures**



# **Acknowledgements**

- Dr. Andrew Zwicker
- Dr. Jeremiah Williams

## **Modified Dispersion Relation**

$$1 - \frac{\omega_{pi}^{2}}{(\omega - ku_{io})(\omega - ku_{io} + iv_{i}^{eff}) - k^{2}v_{ti}^{2}} - \frac{\omega_{pe}^{2}}{(\omega + ku_{eo})(\omega + ku_{eo} + iv_{en}) - k^{2}v_{te}^{2}} - \frac{\omega_{pd}^{2}}{\omega(\omega + iv_{dn}) - k^{2}v_{td}^{2}} = 0$$

where

$$u_{\alpha 0} = \frac{q_{\alpha} E_{0}}{m_{\alpha} v_{\alpha n}} \qquad V_{t\alpha} = \left(\frac{k_{B} T_{\alpha}}{m_{\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad \omega_{p\alpha} = \left(\frac{n_{\alpha} q_{\alpha}^{2}}{\varepsilon_{0} m_{\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad v_{en} = n_{n} \sigma_{en} v_{te} \qquad v_{dn} = \frac{8\sqrt{2\pi}}{3} \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{8}\right) \frac{r_{d}^{2} n_{n} m_{n} v_{tn}}{m_{d}}$$

$$v_{i}^{eff} = v_{in} + v_{id} = n_{n}\sigma_{in}v_{ti} + \frac{m_{d}n_{d}}{m_{i}n_{io}} \frac{8\sqrt{2\pi}}{3} \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{8}\right) \frac{r_{d}^{2}n_{io}m_{i}v_{ti}}{m_{d}} \left(1 + \frac{\beta_{T}\lambda_{D}}{2r_{d}} + \left(\frac{\beta_{T}\lambda_{D}}{2r_{d}}\right)^{2}\Lambda\right)$$

$$\lambda_{D} = \frac{\lambda_{De} \lambda_{Di}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{De}^{2} + \lambda_{Di}^{2}}} \qquad \lambda_{D\alpha} = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_{o} T_{\alpha}}{n_{\alpha o} q_{e}}} \qquad \beta_{T} = \frac{Z_{d} q_{e}}{4\pi\varepsilon_{o} \lambda_{D} T_{i}} \qquad \Lambda = \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\{-x\} \ln\left\{\frac{2x + \beta_{T}}{2r_{d} x + \beta_{T}}\right\} dx$$

- [5] R. L. Merlino and N. D'Angelo, Phys. Plasmas, **12**, 054504 (2005).
- [6] S. Ratynskaia, et. al., Phys. Rev. Letters, **93**, 085001 (2004).

### **Probe Measurements**



## **OML Reduced Charge**

