## Student Evaluations of Teaching

TUESDAY 9/27

4:30PM

PRESENTED BY THE TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS COMMITTEE:

AMBER BURGETT, JUSTIN HOUSEKNECHT, WENDY GRADWOHL, IRENE PRESPER, OLIVIA MILLER

#### **Evaluating Teaching**



Why not the IDEA?

Survey in March 2015 showed that the IDEA was not well understood, liked, or utilized

11. Do you have a preference for which evaluation instrument you would like to see used at Wittenberg?



1. I am satisfied with the current IDEA form evaluations.



## Why the SEEQ?

Evaluated 6 SETs

- IDEA, SEEQ, SPTE, CIEQ, SIRII, IASystem, & Panorama
- Number of items per domain, applicability to non-lecture courses, & tone

SETs used for

- Personnel decisions
- Teaching improvement
- Program assessment

## Why the SEEQ?

#### Decision making process

- Reliability
  - Similar for IDEA & SEEQ
- Validity
  - Stronger for SEEQ
- Customization
  - More flexibility
- Reporting
  - Quicker for SEEQ

## What is the SEEQ? 8 Dimensions of Learning

Learning	Individual Rapport
Enthusiasm	Breadth
Organization	Examinations
Group interaction	Assignments

Comment section after each dimension

### Examples of Dimensions

ORGANIZ Instructo	<b>ZATION</b> or's explanati	ons are	clear.			
	Very Poor	Poor	Moderate	Good	Very Good	Not applicable
	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Course m	naterials are	well pr	epared and ca	arefully ex	plained.	
	Very Poor	Poor	Moderate	Good	Very Good	Not applicable
	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Proposed	d objectives	agree w	vith those act	ually taug	ht so you kno	ow where the course is going.
	Very Poor	Poor	Moderate	Good	Very Good	Not applicable
	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Instructo	r gives lectu	res that	t facilitate tak	ing notes		
	Very Poor	Poor	Moderate	Good	Very Good	Not applicable
	1	2	3	4	5	N/A

#### Learning Dimension

#### HIGHER ED EVALUATION ADMINISTRATION WEB SITE

EVALUATION SECTION QUESTION(S)

Welcome 5648936!

END OF COURSE EVALUATION

#### LEARNING

Question	Question Type	<u>Order</u>	<u>Report</u>	<u>Required</u>		
You find the course intellectually challenging and stimulating.	Radio Button	10	L1		Answers	Remove
You have learned something which you consider valuable.	Radio Button	20	L2		Answers	Remove
Your interest in the subject has increased as a consequence of this course.	Radio Button	30	L3		Answers	Remove
You have learned and understood the subject material in the course.	Radio Button	40	L4		Answers	Remove
Comments about your LEARNING	Text Box	50	L5			Remove

#### **Breadth Dimension**

EVALUATION SECTION QUESTION(S)

END OF COURSE EVALUATION

#### BREADTH

Question	Question Type	<u>Order</u>	<u>Report</u>	<u>Required</u>		
Instructor contrasts the implications of various theories.	Radio Button	10	B1		Answers	Remove
Instructor presents the background or origin of ideas/concepts developed in class.	Radio Button	20	B2		Answers	Remove
Instructor presents points of view other than his/her own when appropriate.	Radio Button	30	B3		Answers	Remove
Instructor adequately discusses current developments in the field.	Radio Button	40	B4		Answers	Remove
Comments about course BREADTH	Text Box	50	B5			Remove

## Development of SEEQ

Items based on 19 essential characteristics of a superior college teacher developed by Feldman (1976)

First SEEQ developed at UCLA

Extensive item pool developed based on current practices, interviews with students and faculty, and review of evaluation literature

Pilot surveys with 5-75 items given to classes in various academic departments; students not only evaluated their instructor with the items but were asked to indicate items that were most reflective of quality of teaching and whether there were any items that had been excluded; instructors asked to indicate items that would be most useful in improving their teaching

Four criteria used to select items to be included on the UCLA version of SEEQ: 1) student ratings of item importance 2) staff ratings of item usefulness 3) factor analysis 4) item reliabilities

## Reliability Evidence

#### Reliability

- Intraclass correlation (agreement among ratings within each class) is approximately .90 when ratings are based on 25 or more students v. .74 when ratings are based on only 10 respondents (Marsh, 1987)
- Coefficient alpha (agreement among different items created to measure the same factor) is between .88 and .97.
- Good agreement between responses by current and former students (Marsh, 1987)

#### Long-term stability

 UCLA study found that students asked to provide retrospective ratings of teaching effectiveness in 100 classes one year after graduation (and several years after taking a course) were correlated .83 with teaching evaluations assessed at the end of the term (Overall & Marsh, 1980).

## Validity

Factor analysis

 Nine factors – based on student as well as faculty ratings from different academic disciplines across years (e.g., Marsh & Overall, 1979b; Marsh 1983, 1984, 1987; Marsh & Dunkin, 1992) and across countries (e.g., Marsh, 1981a)

#### **Student Learning**

- Study in which multiple sections of the same course taught by different instructors (in which students don't know who will be teaching the section) using the same text, course outline, course objectives, etc. – sections that evaluated teaching most favorably during the last week of classes did better on the standardized exam given to all sections the following week
- More favorable affective responses to items such as course mastery, plans to apply the skills gained from the course, plans to pursue the subject further correlated with teaching evaluations

#### Faculty Self Evaluations

- Correlation between student and faculty ratings on the same factors statistically significant for all factors (median r=.49)
- Held for all levels undergraduate and graduate
- Multitrait-multimethod analysis correlations between ratings on different factors were low

### **Dimensional Correlation**

- Structure- 0.55
- Interaction- 0.52
- Skill- 0.50
- Overall course- 0.49
- Overall instructor- 0.45

- Learning- 0.39
- Rapport- 0.32
- Evaluation- 0.30
- Feedback- 0.28
- Motivation- 0.15
- Difficulty- -0.14

Correlation between high ratings and high student achievement

#### Bias in SETs

- No instrument is perfect
- •Bias does exist in SETs (gender, race, class size, subject matter, etc.)
- •No tool should be used in isolation



# Logistics of administering the SEEQ

Live 2 weeks before last day of semester

- 1<sup>st</sup> half semester: 10/10/16
- All other fall courses 11/25/16

Closes last day of classes

Reports available 24 hours after grades are submitted

#### Accessing student evaluations

•Students will receive an email with a link to login page

•There will be an evaluations link on the MyWitt homepage

•Mobile friendly access through email link or eval.wittenberg.edu

•One email and login location for all evaluations

# Adding course specific questions

•You are also able to access the student evaluations through the evaluations link on MyWitt

•Or use eval.wittenberg.edu/admin

# Adding course specific questions

#### Student evaluations of teaching user manual



Results will be available 24 hours after final grades are submitted



#### Choose course

HIGHER ED EVALUATION ADMINISTRATION WEB SITE

- Evalu	ation Section Result Data	
	For Course:	BUSN-3655
	For Course Section:	1W Y
	For Evaluation:	End of Course Evaluation -
	Date Range Given:	16/SP - 04/20/2016 - 05/04/2016 -
	Comparison Type:	Current Date Selection Only C All current and previous responses
	For Instructor ID:	(Leave blank for all)
	Evaluation Section:	Select
		Process Selections Exit Without Processing

**EVALUATION SECTION RESULTS** 

Welcome 56

#### Choose learning dimension or add on questions

HIGHER ED EVALUATION ADMINISTRATION WEB SITE							
	Evaluation Section Results						
- Evaluation Section Result Data							
For Course: BUSN-3655   For Course Section: 1W   For Evaluation: End of Course Evaluation   Date Range Given: 16/SP - 04/20/2016 - 05/04/2016   Comparison Type: © Current Date Selection Only   For Instructor ID: (Leave blank for	All current and previous responses						
Evaluation Section: Select Select LEARNING ENTHUSIASM ENTHUSIASM	ess Selections Exit Without Processing						
GRGAUZATION GROUP INTERACTION INDIVIDUAL RAPPORT BREADTH EXAMINATIONS ASSIGNMENTS OVERALL STUDENT AND COURSE CHARAC Fine, Performing and Literary Arts Natural World Natural World (with Lab) Non-Western Cultures Religious and Philosophical Inquit Social Institutions, Processes & Be Western and Historical Perspectiv	TERISTICS Py ehavior es						

### Results for Learning Dimension



- L1 You find the course intellectually challenging and stimulating.
- L2 You have learned something which you consider valuable.
- L3 Your interest in the subject has increased as a consequence of this course.
- L4 You have learned and understood the subject material in the course.

Total Responses: 15

### Results for Organization Dimension



- O1 Instructor's explanations are clear.
- O2 Course materials are well prepared and carefully explained.
- O3 Proposed objectives agree with those actually taught so you know where the course is going.
- O4 Instructor gives lectures that facilitate taking notes.

Total Responses: 19

Download raw data using evaluation export



Reports selections coming soon!

Course summary, tenure prep, and chairs report



### Other features

Department specific questions can be added

Access all your evaluation results in one place!

HIGHER ED EVALUATION ADMINISTRATION WEB SITE							
Evaluation Data	Reports	Web Site Data	Data Maintenance				
Evaluation Export		Screen Messages	Institution Info				
Eval Section Results		FAQ Groups	Evaluations				
		FAQ's	Gen Ed Add On				
	Emails		Department Add On				
Pre 16/FA Evaluations	Course Emails		Instructor Add On				
	Documents		Evaluation Dates				
	Schedule Email		Gen Eds				
	Auto Response		Terms				
			Course Methods				
			Users				
		Logout					

#### Questions?